

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/541,762	GOMILA, CRISTINA	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Sherali Ishrat	2624	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Sherali Ishrat.

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Robert B. Levy.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9/10/2009

**Time:** 10:00 am

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Previous office action*

Claims discussed:

1-15

Prior art documents discussed:

892/ids

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner informed Applicant's Attorney that Applicant's arguments are nor not persuasive with respect to art rejection because arguments are supported by claim language and based on the invention such as figure 4 in the specification. Applicant's Attorney argued to withdraw restriction and agreed to amend independent claims 1 and 3. Furthermore Applicant's Attorney agreed to provide by fax amendment o calims 1 and 3 and requested examiner to amend claims 1 and 3 by examiner's amendment. Examiner further agreed to withdrawn the restriction requirement.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sherali Ishrat/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

